

Date of Report: 14 November 1972

## PHOTO COMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS:

1.	(U)	Summary of request: (Date received.	,
: ·	<b>a</b> .	Please compare the attached 4 pre-capture photographs of Col. Joseph C. Austin with the post-capture photographs DI-365-5-72 #82	<b>&gt;</b>
			-
	b.	The exact images to be compared have been identified as follows:	
2.	(ប)	Summary of comparison performed:	8 <b>.3</b> .
	<b>a</b> .	The following photographs were compared: pre-capture; post-capture	<b></b>
	b.	technicians working independently of ea other analyzed the identifiable features list below.	ch ed
3.		Results of analysis:	
	a.	(U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submi Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recogniza features.	tted: ble
- '	ъ.	(U) Quality of post-capture photographs subm ted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis recogn able features.	it- iz-
	· c.	The following features were considered similar:	
		(1)	
		(2)	-
		*1*	٠.
		•	

SMR1171

•	$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	
**	(3)	<b>4</b>
	(4)	)
	(5)	
*,	(6)	
	(7)	
	(8)	
	. (9)	
d. 🌓	) The sim	following features were considered dis- ilar:
	(1)	
	(2)	
	(3)	
	(4)	
	(5)	
		والمراحة والم
e. 🦪	STEEL STEEL	nclusion:
e. (	STEEL STEEL	In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
e	) Co	In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned
e.	(1)	In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned photographs.  In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, probably is not the subject of the questioned photo.
e. (U)	(2) (3) The s	In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned photographs.  In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs.  In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared no

Comments: Experience has shown that there are not enough distinguishable features in unidentified photo #82 to permit comparison, even with a photo

MARNING: This phote comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques; however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding that concerning the individual's case comparison analysis.

## Attachments:

Post-capture photographs, with overlay or other exact identification of image to be compared: